arXiv:1411.7079v1 [math.AP] 26 Nov 2014

INITIAL-BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM OF THE NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEM IN THE HALF SPACE

TONGKEUN CHANG AND BUM JA JIN

Abstract. In this paper, we study the initial-boundary value problem of the Navier-Stokes system in the half space. We prove the unique solvability of the weak solution on some short time interval $(0,T)$ with the velocity in $C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$, $0<\alpha<1$, when the given initial data is in $C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ and the given boundary data is in $C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))$. Our result generalizes the result in [30] considering nonhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary data.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* primary 35K61, secondary 76D07.

Keywords and phrases: Stokes System, Navier-Stokes equations, Initial-boundary value problem, anisotropic Besov space, Half space.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}^n_+=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\,|\,x_n>0\}$, $n\geq 2$ and $0<T<\infty$. In this paper, we consider the following initial-boundary value problem of the Navier-Stokes system in $\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T)$:

$$\begin{gathered} u_t-\Delta u+\nabla p=-\operatorname{div}(u\otimes u),\qquad \operatorname{div} u=0,\ \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T),\\ u|_{t=0}=h,\qquad u|_{x_n=0}=g, \end{gathered}\tag{1.1}$$

where $u=(u_1,\cdots,u_n)$ and p are unknown velocity and the pressure, respectively, and $g=(g_1,\cdots,g_n)$, $h=(h_1,\cdots,h_n)$ are the given data.

In this paper, we show the unique solvability of the Navier-Stokes system (1.1) with initial and boundary data in anisotropic Besov spaces. The following states the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *For $0<\alpha<1$ and $T>0$, let $h\in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, $g\in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))$. We assume that*

$$g|_{t=0}=h|_{x_n=0},\ \textit{div}\ h=0,\quad R'g_n\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T)),\quad R'h_n\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)\tag{1.2}$$

where, $R'=(R'_1,\cdots,R'_{n-1})$ is the $n-1$ dimensional Riesz operator. We also assume that there is $\tilde{h}\in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ an extension of h to $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying that $div\,\tilde{h}=0$, $R'\tilde{h}_n\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, there is T^* $(0<T*<T)$ such that the Navier-Stokes system (1.1) has a weak solution $u\in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T^*))$ with appropriate distribution p. Moreover, u is a unique in the class $C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T^*))$.

There are abundant literature for the solvability of the Navier-Stokes system (1.1) when $g=0$. When $h\in C^s(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ for $s>2$, V.A. Solonnikov [30] showed the local in time existence of the unique solution $u\in C^{s,\frac{s}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$. See also [10]. In [32], he also showed the local in time existence of the unique solution $u\in C(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ when $h\in C(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$. In [25], P. Maremonti showed the unique existence of classical solution of the Navier-tokes system when the initial data

is nonconvergent at infinity. Theorem 1.1 generalizes the solvability result in [30] to a nonzero boundary data $g \in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,\infty))$ for $0<\alpha<1$.

Navier-Stokes system in the half space has been studied mostly in p-frame work (Here p-frame work means function spaces such as L^p's, $W^{k,p}$'s, $1<p<\infty$, or their interpolation spaces, and ∞-frame work means such as L^∞'s, W^k_∞'s, or their interpolation spaces). See [2, 7, 22, 30] and references therein for the solvability of the Navier-Stokes system in the half space with homogeneous boundary data, that is, with $g=0$. See [1, 3, 4, 24, 41] and references therein for the solvability of the Navier-Stokes system in the half space with the nonhomogeneous boundary data, that is, with $g\neq 0$.

There are many literatures for the study of the Navier-Stokes system in other domain such as whole space, a bounded domain, or exterior domain (with homogeneous or nonhomogeneous boundary data). If we mention papers using ∞-frame work, see [16, 20, 23, 28, 2, 33] and the references therein. If we mention papers using p-frame work, see [2, 3, 4, 11, 12, 13, 15, 18, 19] and the references therein.

Although the unsteady Navier-Stokes equations with low regular boundary data have been studied in several papers such as [1, 3, 4, 11, 12, 13], etc, we are interested in finding optimal regularity (in space-time) of the solution corresponding to the given data. As a first step we consider the Hölder continuous Diriclet boundary data. In our forthcoming paper we would like to consider optimal regularity (in space-time) of the solution when low regular boundary data is given.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is necessary to study the initial-boundary value problem of the Stokes system in $\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T)$:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t-\Delta u+\nabla p=f, \qquad & div\, u=0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T),\\ u|_{t=0}=h, \qquad & u|_{x_n=0}=g. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

The following states our result on the unique solvability of the Stokes system (1.3).

Theorem 1.2. *For $0<\alpha<1$ and $T>0$, let $h\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, $g\in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))$ satisfy the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1.1. Moreover, we assume that $f=div\,\mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}\in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ with an extension $\tilde{F}\in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))$. Then, Stokes system (1.3) has a unique solution $u\in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ with appropriate distribution p with*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le & c\Big(\|h\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}+\max\{T^{\frac12},T^{\frac12+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}\\ & +\|g\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}+\|R'h_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|R'g_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

When $h\in C^s(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$, $f\in C^{s-2,\frac{s}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3_+\times(0,T))$ and $g\in C^{s,\frac{s}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2\times(0,T))$ for $s>2$, V.A. Solonnikov [31] showed that there is a unique solution of the Stokes system (1.3) so that

$$\|u\|_{\dot{C}^{s,\frac{s}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3_+\times(0,T))}\le c\Big(\|h\|_{\dot{C}^s(\mathbb{R}^3_+)}+\|f\|_{\dot{C}^{s-2,\frac{s}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3_+\times(0,T))}+\|g\|_{\dot{C}^{s,\frac{s}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2\times(0,T))}+\|R'(D_tg_3)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2;\dot{C}^{\frac{s}{2}}(0,T))}\Big).$$

Theorem 1.2 generalizes the result of [31] to $0 < s < 1$. Our result could be compared with the result in [27], where $V^{s,\frac{s}{2}}(\Omega \times (0,T))$, $0 \le s \le 2$, has been considered as a solution spaces in a bounded domain (see [27] for the definition of $V^{s,\frac{s}{2}}(\Omega \times (0,T))$).

There are various literatures for the solvability of the Stokes system (1.3) with homogeneous boundary data, that is, with $g = 0$. See [17, 25, 26, 30, 32], and references therein. In particular, the following estimate is derived in [32]:

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))} \le c\Big(\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} + T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))}\Big), \tag{1.5}$$

where $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ and $f = \operatorname{div}\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} = (F_{kj})_{j,k=1}^n \in C(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$ with $\operatorname{div} h = 0,\ h|_{x_n=0} = 0,\ (F_{n1}, \cdots, F_{nn})|_{x_n=0} = 0$. See also [17].

When $f = 0$ and $h = 0$, T.K. Chang and H.J. Choe [9] showed that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))} \le c\Big(\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,T))} + \|R'g_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,T))}\Big), \tag{1.6}$$

where $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,T)),\ R'g_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,T)),\ g|_{t=0} = 0$. See also [34, 35].

We organized this paper as follows. In section 2, we introduce the notations and the function spaces such as anisotropic Besov spaces and the anisotropic Hölder spaces. In section 3, we consider Stokes system (1.3) with the homogeneous external force and homogeneous initial velocity, and give the proof of Theorem 3.1. In section 4, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 with the help of Theorem 3.1. In section 5, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1 by constructing approximate solutions.

2. **Notations and Definitions**

The points of spaces $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$ are denoted by x' and $x = (x', x_n)$, respectively. The multiple derivatives are denoted by $D_x^k D_t^m = \frac{\partial^{|k|}}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t}$ for multi index k and nonnegative integer m. For vector field $f = (f_1, \cdots, f_n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, set $f' = (f_1, \cdots, f_{n-1})$ and $f = (f', f_n)$. Throughout this paper we denote by c various generic constants.

For the Banach space X, X' denotes the dual space of X. For the a m-dimensional smooth domain Ω, $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ stands for the collection of all complex-valued infinitely differentiable functions in $\mathbb{R}^m$ compactly supported in Ω. Let $1 \le p \le \infty$ and k be a nonnegative integer. The usual Sobolev spaces and homogeneous Sobolev spaces are denoted by $W_p^k(\Omega)$ and $\dot{W}_p^k(\Omega)$, respectively. Note that $W_p^0(\Omega) = \dot{W}_p^0(\Omega) = L^p(\Omega)$. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. The usual Hölder spaces and the homogeneous Hölder spaces are denoted by $C^{k+\alpha}(\Omega)$ and $\dot{C}^{k+\alpha}(\Omega)$, respectively.

It is known that $C^{k+\alpha}(\Omega) = B_\infty^{k+\alpha}(\Omega)$ and $\dot{C}^{k+\alpha}(\Omega) = \dot{B}_\infty^{k+\alpha}(\Omega)$ with equivalent norms, where $B_p^s(\Omega)$ and $\dot{B}_p^s(\Omega)$ are the usual Besov spaces and the homogeneous Besov spaces, respectively. See [5, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40] for the definition of Besov spaces and their properties.

Let Ω be a domain in m-dimensional domain and I be an open interval. Anisotropic Hölder spaces $C^{k+\alpha,\frac{k+\alpha}{2}}(\Omega\times I)$ and homogeneous anisotropic Hölder spaces $\dot{C}^{k+\alpha,\frac{k+\alpha}{2}}(\Omega\times I)$ are the set of functions on $\Omega\times I$ normed with

$$\|f\|_{C^{k+\alpha,\frac{k+\alpha}{2}}(\Omega\times I)}:=\sum_{|l|+2l_0\le k}\|D_t^{l_0}D_x^l f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times I)}+\sum_{|l|+2l_0=k}[D_t^{l_0}D_x^l f]_{\alpha,\Omega\times I}<\infty$$

$$\|f\|_{\dot{C}^{k+\alpha,\frac{k+\alpha}{2}}(\Omega\times I)}:=\sum_{|l|+2l_0=k}[D_t^{l_0}D_x^l f]_{\alpha,\Omega\times I}<\infty,$$

where

$$[f]_{\alpha,\Omega\times I}:=\sup_{t\in I}\sup_{x\neq y\in\Omega}\frac{|f(x,t)-f(y,t)|}{|x-y|^\alpha}+\sup_{x\in\Omega}\sup_{s\neq t\in I}\frac{|f(x,t)-f(x,s)|}{|t-s|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}.$$

The properties of anisotropic Hölder spaces are the same as the properties of Hölder spaces. For example, $C^{k+\alpha,\frac{k+\alpha}{2}}(\Omega\times I)=B_\infty^{k+\alpha,\frac{k+\alpha}{2}}(\Omega\times I)$ and $\dot{C}^{k+\alpha,\frac{k+\alpha}{2}}(\Omega\times I)=\dot{B}_\infty^{k+\alpha,\frac{k+\alpha}{2}}(\Omega\times I)$ with equivalent norms, where $B_p^{s,\frac{s}{2}}(\Omega\times I)$ and $\dot{B}_p^{s,\frac{s}{2}}(\Omega\times I)$ are the anisotropic Besov spaces and the homogeneous anisotropic Besov spaces, respectively (For the definition of anisotropic Besov spaces and the homogeneous anisotropic Besov spaces, see [5, 38]). The properties of the anisotropic Besov spaces in $\Omega\times I$ are comparable with the properties of Besov spaces in Ω, whose proof can be shown by the same arguments as in [5, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40].

Definition 2.1 (Weak solution to the Stokes system)**.** *Suppose that $f=div\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}=\{F_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n\in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$, $g\in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))$ and $h\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$. Then a vector field $u\in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ is called a weak solution of the Stokes system* (1.3) *if the following conditions are satisfied:*
1)

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}\nabla u:\nabla\Phi dxdt=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}u\cdot\Phi_t-\mathcal{F}:\nabla\Phi dxdt$$

for each $\Phi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ with $div_x\Phi=0$,
2) $u(x,0)=h(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ in trace sense.
3)$u(x',0,t)=g(x',t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T)$ in trace sense.

Definition 2.2 (Weak solution to the Navier-Stokes system)**.** *Suppose that $g\in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))$ and $h\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$. Then a vector field $u\in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ is called a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes system* (1.1) *if the following conditions are satisfied:*
1) $\nabla u\in L^\infty(K\times(\delta,T))$ for each $\delta>0$ and for each compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n_+$,
2)

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}\nabla u:\nabla\Phi dxdt=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}u\cdot(\Phi_t-(\Phi\cdot\nabla)u)dxdt$$

for each $\Phi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ with $div_x\Phi=0$,
3) $u(x,0)=h(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ in trace sense.
4)$u(x',0,t)=g(x',t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T)$ in trace sense.

3. **Stokes system with homogeneous external force and initial velocity**

Let us consider the following initial-boundary value problem of a nonstationary Stokes system in $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T)$:

$$\begin{aligned} w_t - \Delta w + \nabla q = 0, \qquad div\, w = 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T), \\ w|_{t=0} = 0, \qquad w|_{x_n=0} = G. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

In [30], an explicit formula for w of the Stokes system (3.1) with boundary data $G = (G', 0)$ is obtained by

$$w_i(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} K_{ij}(x'-y', x_n, t-s) G_j(y',s) dy' ds, \tag{3.2}$$

$$q(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \pi_j(x'-y', x_n, t-s) G_j(y',s) dy' ds. \tag{3.3}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij}(x,t) &= -2\delta_{ij} D_{x_n} \Gamma(x,t) + 4 D_{x_j} \int_0^{x_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_{z_n} \Gamma(z,t) D_{x_i} N(x-z) dz, \\ \pi_j(x,t) &= -2\delta(t) D_{x_j} D_{x_n} N(x) + 4 D_{x_j} D^2_{x_n} A(x,t) + 4 D_t D_{x_j} A(x,t), \\ A(x,t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Gamma(z',0,t) N(x'-z', x_n) dz', \end{aligned}$$

where Γ and N are fundamental solutions of heat equation and Laplace equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively, that is,

$$\Gamma(x,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{c}{(2\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \le 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad N(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\omega_n (2-n) |x|^{n-2}} & \text{if } n \ge 3, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |x| & \text{if } n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $G \in \dot{C}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty))$ with $G_n = 0$. We also assume that $G\Big|_{t=0} = 0$. Then, the function w defined by (3.2) is in $\dot{C}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_+ \times (0,\infty))$ and satisfies*

$$\|w\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,\infty))} \le c \|G\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty))}.$$

Proof. According to the result of V.A. Solonnikov [31], if $G = (G', 0) \in \dot{C}^{s, \frac{s}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0,T))$ for $s > 2$, then w defined by (3.2) satisfies

$$\|w\|_{\dot{C}^{s, \frac{s}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3_+ \times (0,T))} \le c \|G\|_{\dot{C}^{s, \frac{s}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0,T))}, \ s > 2.$$

The argument in [31] can be applied for any $n \ge 2$ to obtain the same estimates as the above.

According to the result of T.K. Chang and H.J. Choe [9], if $G = (G', 0) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,T))$, then w defined by (3.2) satisfies

$$\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))} \le c \|G\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,T))}.$$

Interpolate the above two estimate, then we obtain the estimate in Theorem 3.1.

□

Remark 3.2. *Let* $G_{ij} = D_{x_j}\int_0^{x_n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_{z_n}\Gamma(z,t)D_{x_i}N(x-z)dz$. *It is known that*

$$|D_{x_n}^l D_{x'}^{k0} D_t^m G_{ij}(x,t)| \le \frac{c}{t^{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|x|^2+t)^{\frac{1}{2}n+\frac{1}{2}k}(x_n^2+t)^{\frac{1}{2}l}}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $1 \le i \le n$ *and* $1 \le j \le n-1$ *(see* [30]*). Using the properties of Heat kernel* Γ *and the estimates of* G_{ij}*, it is easy to see that*

$$x_n^{-k+1-\alpha} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |D_x^k w(x,t)| \le c\|G\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}.$$

Therefore, w *is smooth in* $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ *for each* $t > 0$.

4. **Stokes system with nonhomogeneous external force and initial velocity**

In this section we consider the Stokes system (1.3) with $f = \operatorname{div}\mathcal{F}, g, h$ satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2.

4.1. **Formal decompositions.** Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be an extension of $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T)$ and let $\tilde{f} = \operatorname{div}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the Helmholtz projection operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$[\mathbb{P}\tilde{f}]_j(x,t) = \delta_{ij}\tilde{f}_i + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_{x_i}D_{x_j}N(x-y)\tilde{f}_i(y,t)dy = \delta_{ij}\tilde{f}_i + R_iR_j\tilde{f}_i$$

and define $\mathbb{Q}$ by

$$\mathbb{Q}\tilde{f} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_{x_i}N(x-y)\tilde{f}_i(y,t)dy.$$

Then, we have

$$\operatorname{div}\mathbb{P}\tilde{f} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T) \quad \text{ and } \quad \tilde{f} = \mathbb{P}\tilde{f} + D_x\mathbb{Q}\tilde{f}.$$

Note that $[\mathbb{P}\tilde{f}]_j = D_{x_k}[\delta_{ij}\tilde{F}_{ki} + R_iR_j\tilde{F}_{ki}]$ for $\tilde{f} = \operatorname{div}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. Define V by

$$V_j(x,t) = \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_{x_k}\Gamma(x-y,t-s)[\delta_{ij}\tilde{F}_{ki} + R_iR_j\tilde{F}_{ki}](y,s)dyds. \tag{4.1}$$

Observe that V satisfies the equations

$$V_t - \Delta V = \mathbb{P}\tilde{f},\ \operatorname{div}V = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T),$$
$$V|_{t=0} = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $\widetilde{h}$ be an extension of h satisfying that $\operatorname{div}\widetilde{h} = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Define v by

$$v(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Gamma(x-y,t)\widetilde{h}(y)dy. \tag{4.2}$$

Observe that v satisfies the equations

$$v_t - \Delta v = 0,\ \operatorname{div}v = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T),$$
$$v|_{t=0} = \tilde{h} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Define ϕ by

$$\phi(x,t) = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} N(x'-y',x_n)\Big(g_n(y',t) - v_n(y',0,t) - V_n(y',0,t)\Big)dy'. \tag{4.3}$$

Observe that

$$\Delta\phi = 0, \qquad \nabla\phi|_{x_n=0} = (R'(g_n - v_n|_{x_n=0} - V_n|_{x_n=0}),\ g_n - v_n|_{x_n=0} - V_n|_{x_n=0}).$$

Moreover, note that $\nabla\phi|_{t=0} = 0$ if $g_n|_{t=0} = h_n|_{x_n=0}$. Let

$$G = (G', 0), \text{ where } G' = g' - V'|_{x_n=0} - v'|_{x_n=0} - R'(g_n - v_n|_{x_n=0} - V_n|_{x_n=0}). \tag{4.4}$$

Note that $G'|_{t=0} = 0$ if $g|_{t=0} = h|_{x_n=0}$.

Finally, let (w, q) be defined by (3.2) and (3.3) with G defined by (4.4). Then, $u = w + \nabla\phi + v + V$ and $p = q - \phi_t + \mathbb{Q}\tilde{f}$ satisfies formally the nonstationary Stokes system (1.3).

4.2. **Preliminary Estimates.** The subsequent propositions are the basic tool for the estimate of $v, V, \nabla\phi$ and w introduced in the previous section. See Appendix A, Appendix B, Appendix C and Appendix D for the proof of the Proposition 4.1, Proposition 4.2, Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.4, respectively.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $0 < \alpha$. For $f \in B^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define $u(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x-y,t)f(y)dy$. Then $u \in B^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))$ with*

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty))} \le c\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \qquad \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty))} \le c\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, u is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T)$ with

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, t\in(0,\infty)} t^{m+\frac{|k|}{2}} |D_t^m D_x^k u(x,t)| \le c\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $0 < \alpha$. Let $f \in B^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))$. Define $u(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_x\Gamma(x-y,t-s)f(y,s)dyds$. Then $u \in B^{\alpha+1,\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))$ with*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha+1,\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} &\le c\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}, \\
\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} &\le cT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}, \\
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} &\le cT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T);BMO(\mathbb{R}^n))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the usual BMO space, which is the dual space of Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\|Rf\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \ \|Rf\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \ \|Rf\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, if $0 < \alpha$, then

$$\|Rf\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le c\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}.$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $0 < \alpha$. Define $Pf(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{x_n}{(|x'-y'|^2+x_n^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} f(y',t)dy'$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Pf(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} &\le c\|f(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}, \\
\|Pfu(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} &\le c\|f(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}, \\
\|Pf\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} &\le c\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $u(t)$ is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} x_n^k |D_x^k u(x,t)| \le c\|f(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.$$

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Choose $\tilde{h} \in \dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))$ which are the extension of h and $\mathcal{F}$, respectively. Let V, v and ϕ be the corresponding vector fields defined by (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3), respectively, and let w be defined by (3.2) with G as (4.4).

• At this step, we will show that $u = v + V + \nabla\phi + w \in \dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$.

From Proposition 4.1 and the property of the extension $\widetilde{h}$

$$\|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le c\|h\|_{\dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}. \tag{4.5}$$

From Proposition 4.2, Proposition 4.3 and the property of the extension $\widetilde{f}$

$$\|V\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le cT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\delta_{ij}\tilde{F}_{ik} + R_iR_j\tilde{F}_{ik}\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le cT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}. \tag{4.6}$$

According to the well known trace theorem, $V,\ v \in \dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))$ imply $V|_{x_n=0},\quad v|_{x_n=0} \in \dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \|V|_{x_n=0}\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} &\le c\|V\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))},\\ \|v|_{x_n=0}\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} &\le c\|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Again, according to Proposition 4.3, $g_n,\ V|_{x_n=0},\ v|_{x_n=0} \in \dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))$ imply $R'g_n$, $R'(v_n|_{x_n=0})$, $R'(V_n|_{x_n=0}) \in \dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \|R'g_n\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} &\le c\|g_n\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))},\\ \|R'(v_n|_{x_n=0})\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} &\le c\|v_n|_{x_n=0}\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))},\\ \|R'(V_n|_{x_n=0})\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} &\le c\|V_n|_{x_n=0}\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Observe that

$$D_{x_n}\phi = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_{x_n}N(x'-y',x_n)\Big(g_n(y',t) - v_n(y',0,t) - V_n(y',0,t)\Big)dy',$$

$$D_{x'}\phi = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} D_{x_n}N(x'-y',x_n)\Big(R'g_n(y',t) - R'v_n(y',0,t) - R'V_n(y',0,t)\Big)dy'.$$

According to the Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.4, (4.7) and (4.8) imply $\nabla\phi \in \dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ with

$$\|\nabla\phi\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c\Big(\|g_n\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} + \|v_n\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} + \|V_n\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}\Big). \tag{4.9}$$

(4.7) and (4.8) also imply $G \in \dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ with

$$\|G\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} \le c\Big(\|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} + \|V\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} + \|g\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}\Big). \tag{4.10}$$

Applying Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\|w\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c\|G\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}. \tag{4.11}$$

Combining (4.5), (4.6), (4.9) and (4.11) together with (4.10), we conclude that $u = v + V + \nabla\phi + w \in \dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$ with the inequality

$$\|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c\Big(\|h\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} + T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} + \|g\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}\Big). \tag{4.12}$$

• At this step, we will show that $u = v + V + \nabla\phi + w \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$.

By Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\|V\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le cT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\delta_{ij}\tilde{F}_{ik} + R_iR_j\tilde{F}_{ik}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;BMO(\mathbb{R}^n))} \le cT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}, \tag{4.13}$$

and by Proposition 4.1 we have

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le c\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}. \tag{4.14}$$

To show that $\nabla\phi,\ w$ are in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$, it is necessary that $v_n|_{x_n=0}$, $V_n|_{x_n=0}$, $R'(v_n|_{x_n=0})$, $R'(V_n|_{x_n=0})$ are in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$. Observe that from Proposition 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
V(x',0,t) &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_{x_k}\Gamma(x'-y',y_n,t-s)[\delta_{ij}\tilde{F}_{ik} + R_iR_j\tilde{F}_{ik}](y,s)dyds\\
&\le c\int_0^t \|D_{x_k}\Gamma(x'-\cdot,\cdot,t-s)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\delta_{ij}\tilde{F}_{ik} + R_iR_j\tilde{F}_{ik}](\cdot,s)\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}ds,\\
v(x',0,t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Gamma(x'-y',y_n,t)\tilde{h}(y)dy \le c\|\Gamma(x'-\cdot,\cdot,t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\tilde{h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $V|_{x_n=0}, \quad v|_{x_n=0} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))$ with

$$\|V|_{x_n=0}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} \le cT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}, \quad \|v|_{x_n=0}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} \le c\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}. \tag{4.15}$$

The estimates of $R'(v_n|_{x_n=0}), R'(V_n|_{x_n=0})$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$ are rather delicate. Note that $\mathbb{P}\tilde{f}_n = \Delta N * \mathbb{P}\tilde{f}_n = \sum_{l\neq n} D^2_{x_l}N * \mathbb{P}\tilde{f}_n - \sum_{l\neq n} D_{x_n}D_{x_l}\mathbb{P}\tilde{f}_l$, since div $\mathbb{P}\tilde{f} = 0$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_n(x',0,t) &= \sum_{l\neq n}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_{x_l}\Gamma(x'-y',y_n,t-s)D_{y_l}D_{y_k}[N*(\delta_{in}+R_iR_n)\tilde{F}_{ki}](y,s)dyds\\
&\quad + \sum_{l\neq n}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_{y_l}\Gamma(x'-y',y_n,t-s)D_{y_n}D_{y_k}[N*(\delta_{il}+R_iR_l)\tilde{F}_{ki}]dyds\\
&= \sum_{l\neq n}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_{x_l}\Gamma(x'-y',y_n,t-s)R_lR_k(\delta_{in}+R_iR_n)\tilde{F}_{ki}](y,s)dyds\\
&\quad + \sum_{l\neq n}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_{y_l}\Gamma(x'-y',y_n,t-s)R_nR_k(\delta_{il}+R_iR_l)\tilde{F}_{ki}](y,s)dyds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above representation, $R'\Big(V_n(t)|_{x_n=0}\Big)$ have the following representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R'\Big(V_n(t)|_{x_n=0}\Big)\\
&= \sum_{l\neq n}\int_0^t\int_0^\infty k(y_n,t-s)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} R'D_{x_l}K(x'-y',t-s)R_lR_k(\delta_{in}+R_iR_n)\tilde{F}_{ki})(y',y_n,s)dy'\Big)dy_nds\\
&\quad + \sum_{l\neq n}\int_0^t\int_0^\infty k(y_n,t-s)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} R'D_{y_l}K(x'-y',t-s)R_nR_k(\delta_{il}+R_iR_l)\tilde{F}_{ki})(y',y_n,s)dy'\Big)dy_nds.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $K(x',t)=K_t(x')=\frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{|x'|^2}{4t}}$, $k(x_n,t)=k_t(x_n)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4t}}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} R'D_{x_l}K(x'-y',t-s)R_lR_k(\delta_{in}+R_iR_n)\tilde{F}_{ki})(y',y_n,s)dy'\\
&\le c\|D_{x_l}K(x'-\cdot,t-s)\|_{\dot{B}_1^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}\|R_lR_k(\delta_{ij}+R_iR_j)\tilde{F}_{ki})(\cdot,y_n,s)\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}\\
&\le c\|K(x'-\cdot,t-s)\|_{\dot{B}_1^{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}\sup_{y_n}\|R_lR_k(\delta_{ij}+R_iR_j)\tilde{F}_{ki})(\cdot,y_n,s)\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}\\
&\le c(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|R_lR_k(\delta_{ij}+R_iR_j)\tilde{F}(\cdot,s)\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}\le c(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\cdot,s)\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that $R:\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)\hookrightarrow\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded operator for $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))\cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1};\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))=\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\alpha>0$, and $\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)=\dot{C}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0<\alpha<1$. Hence, we have

$$\|R'\Big(V_n(t)|_{x_n=0}\Big)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}\le cT^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}. \tag{4.16}$$

Direct computation shows the identity

$$R'\Big(v_n(t)|_{x_n=0}\Big)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Gamma(y,t)(R'\tilde{h}_n)(x'-y',y_n)dy.$$

Hence, if $R'\tilde{h}_n\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\|R'\Big(v_n(t)|_{x_n=0}\Big)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}\le c\|R'\tilde{h}_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.17}$$

By Proposition 4.4, (4.16) and (4.17) imply $\nabla\phi\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ with

$$\|D_{x_n}\phi(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}\le c\Big(\|g_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}+T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}+\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}\Big), \tag{4.18}$$

$$\|D_{x'}\phi(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}\le c\Big(\|R'g_n(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}+T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}+\|R'\tilde{h}_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}\Big). \tag{4.19}$$

(4.16) and (4.17) also imply $G\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}\le c\Big(&\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}+\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}+T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}\\
&+T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}+\|R'g_n(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}+\|R'\tilde{h}_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}\Big)1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Note that $G_n=0$, $G|_{t=0}=0$. According to the result of [9],

$$\|w\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}\le c\|G\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}. \tag{4.21}$$

Combining (4.13), (4.14), (4.18), (4.19) and (4.21) together with (4.20), we conclude that $u=v+V+\nabla\phi+w\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ with the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}\le c\Big(&\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}+T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}+T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}+\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}\\
&+\|R'\tilde{h}_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|R'g_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Combining (4.12) and (4.22), we obtain the estimates (1.4) in Theorem 1.2. The uniqueness follows from (1.4).

Remark 4.5. *Recall that formally, $u = w + \nabla\phi + v + V$, $p = q - \phi_t + \mathbb{Q}f$ satisfies the Stokes system* (1.3) *formally, and q can be written by $q(x,t) = q_0(x,t) + D_t q_1(x,t)$ (see* [20] *for the details), where $q_0(t), q_1(t)$ are harmonic function in x variable, but their differentiability in t variable is equal to the differentiability of g in t variable. Therefore, for $g \in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))$, $0<\alpha<1$, q is not a function but a distribution in terms of t variables. From this reason, our solution $u \in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))$ satisfies weak formulation of the Stokes system* (1.3)*, but cannot satisfy the Stokes system* (1.3) *in classical sense.*

5. **Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Since

$$|(uv)(x,t)-(uv)(y,t)| \le |v(x,t)||u(x,t)-u(y,t)| + |u(y,t)||v(x,t)-v(y,t)|$$

and

$$|(uv)(x,t)-(uv)(x,s)| \le |v(x,t)||u(x,t)-u(x,s)| + |u(x,s)||v(x,t)-v(x,s)|,$$

the following bilinear estimate can be obtained.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $u, v \in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times[0,T))$. Then*

$$\|uv\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le c\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}\|v\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} + c\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}\|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}y(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}.$$

5.1. **Approximate solutions.** Let (u^1,p^1) be the solution of the system

$$u^1_t - \Delta u^1 + \nabla p^1 = 0, \qquad div\, u = 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T),$$
$$u^1|_{t=0} = h, \qquad u^1|_{x_n=0} = g.$$

Let $m \ge 1$. After obtaining $(u^1,p^1),\cdots,(u^m,p^m)$ construct (u^{m+1},p^{m+1}) which satisfies the system

$$u^{m+1}_t - \Delta u^{m+1} + \nabla p^{m+1} = f^m, \qquad div\, u^{m+1} = 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T),$$
$$u^{m+1}|_{t=0} = h, \qquad u^{m+1}|_{x_n=0} = g,$$

where $f^m = -\mathrm{div}(u^m\otimes u^m)$.

5.2. **Uniform boundesness.** Let $T \le 1$. By the result of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\|u^{m+1}\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c\Big(\|h\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} + \|g\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} + \|R'g_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}$$
$$+ \|R'h_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \max\{T^{\frac12}, T^{\frac12+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\}\|(u^m\otimes u^m)\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}\Big).$$

By the bilinear estimate in Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\|(u^m\otimes u^m)\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c\|u^m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}\|u^m\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|u^{m+1}\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c_1\Big(\|h\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} + \|g\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} + \|R'g_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} \tag{5.1}$$
$$+ \|R'h_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \max\{T^{\frac12}, T^{\frac12+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\}\|u^m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}\|u^m\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}\Big).$$

Set

$$M_0 = \|h\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} + \|g\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} + \|R'g_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} + \|R'h_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Choose $M > 2c_1M_0$. Then (5.1) implies that

$$\|u^1\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c_1M_0 < M,$$

and under the condition that $\|u^m\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le M$, we have

$$\|u^{m+1}\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c_1M_0 + c_1\max\{T^{\frac12}, T^{\frac12+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\}M^2.$$

Choose $0 < T \le \frac{1}{(2c_1M)^2}$ together with the condition $T \le 1$. Then by mathematical induction argument we can conclude that

$$\|u^m\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le M \text{ for all } m = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

5.3. **Uniform Convergence.** Let $U^m = u^{m+1} - u^m$ and $P^m = p^{m+1} - p^m$. Then U^m satisfies the system

$$U^m_t - \Delta U^m + \nabla P^m = -\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}(u^m \otimes U^{m-1} + U^{m-1} \otimes u^{m-1}), \qquad div\, U^m = 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T),$$
$$U^m|_{t=0} = 0, \qquad U^m|_{x_n=0} = 0,$$

By the result of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\|U^m\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c\max\{T^{\frac12}, T^{\frac12+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\}\|(u^m \otimes U^{m-1} + U^{m-1} \otimes u^{m-1})\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}$$
$$\le c_2\max\{T^{\frac12}, T^{\frac12+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\}(\|u^m\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} + \|u^{m-1}\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))})\|U^{m-1}\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}.$$

Choose $0 < T \le \frac{1}{(4c_2M)^2}$ together with the condition $T \le \frac{1}{(2c_1M)^2}$ and $T \le 1$. Then, the above estimate leads to the

$$\|U^m\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le \frac12\|U^{m-1}\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}. \tag{5.2}$$

(5.2) implies the infinite series $\sum_{k=1}^\infty U^k$ converges in $C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$. Observe that $u^m = u^1 + \sum_{k=1}^n U^k, m = 2, 3, \cdots$. Hence u^m converges to $u^1 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty U^k$ in $C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$. Set $u := u^1 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty U^k$.

5.4. **Existence, regularity and uniqueness.** Let u be the same one constructed by the previous section. In this section, we will show that u satisfies weak formulation of Navier-Stokes system, that is, u is a weak solution of Navier-Stokes system with appropriate distribution p.

Let $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$ with div $\Phi = 0$. Observe that

$$-\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u^{m+1}\cdot\Delta\Phi dxdt = \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u^m\cdot(\Phi_t + (u^m\cdot\nabla)\Phi)dxdt.$$

Now send m to the infinity, then, since $u^m \to u$ in $C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$, we have

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u\cdot\Delta\Phi dxdt = \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u\cdot(\Phi_t + (u\cdot\nabla)\Phi)dxdt. \tag{5.3}$$

Note that u can be decomposed by $u = v + V + \nabla\phi + w$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
v(x,t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x-y,t)\tilde{h}(y)dy,\\
V_j(x,t) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_{x_k}\Gamma(x-y,t-s)[\delta_{ij}\tilde{u}_k\tilde{u}_i + R_iR_j\tilde{u}_k\tilde{u}_i](y,s)dyds,\\
\phi(x,t) &= 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} N(x'-y',x_n)\Big(g_n(y',t) - v_n(y',0,t) - V_n(y',0,t)\Big)dy'\\
w &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} K_{ij}(x'-y',x_n,t-s)G_j(y',s)dy'ds,
\end{aligned}$$

for $G = (g' - V'|_{x_n=0} - v'|_{x_n=0} - R'(g_n - v_n|_{x_n=0} - V_n|_{x_n=0}, 0)$. By Proposition 4.1, v is infinitely differentiable in $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T)$, by Proposition 4.2 $V \in C^{\alpha+1,\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$, by Proposition 4.4 $\nabla\phi(t)$ is infinitely differentiable in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ for each $t > 0$, and by Remark 3.2, $w(t)$ is infinitely differentiable in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ for each $t > 0$, concluding that $\nabla u \in L^\infty(K \times (\delta, T))$ for each $\delta > 0$ and for each compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n_+$. Therefore, (5.3) can be rewritten by

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \nabla u : \nabla\Phi dxdt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u \cdot (\Phi_t - (\Phi\cdot\nabla)u)dxdt.$$

This leads to the conclusion that u is a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes system (1.1).

Let $v \in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$ be another solution of Naiver-Stokes system (1.1) with pressure q. Then $u - v$ satisfies the system

$$\begin{aligned}
(u-v)_t - \Delta(u-v) + \nabla(p-q) &= -\mathrm{div}(u\otimes(u-v) + (u-v)\otimes v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T),\\
div\,(u-v) &= 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T),\\
(u-v)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad (u-v)|_{x_n=0} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 1.2 to the above Stokes system for $u - v$ and then applying Lemma 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u-v\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T_1))} &\le c\max\{T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, T_1^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\}\|u\otimes(u-v) + (u-v)\otimes v\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T_1))}\\
\le c_3\max\{T^{\frac{1}{2}}, T^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\}(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T_1))} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T_1))})\|u-v\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T_1))},\ T_1 \le T.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take $T_1 \le \frac{1}{c_3^2(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}+1)^2}$ together with $T_1 \le 1$, then the above inequality leads to the conclusion that

$$\|u-v\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T_1))} = 0 \text{ that is, } u \equiv v \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T_1).$$

By the same argument, we can show that

$$\|u-v\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(T_1,2T_1))} = 0 \text{ that is, } u \equiv v \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (T_1,2T_1).$$

After iterating this procedure finite times, we obtain the conclusion that $u = v$ in $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T)$.

Appendix A. Proof of Proposition 4.1

By Young's theorem, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|\Gamma_t\|_{L^1}\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and this gives the estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty))} \le c\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{1.1}$$

Since $D_t u = \Delta_x u$, and $D_x^2 u = \Gamma_t * D_x^2 f$, again, by Young's theorem we have

$$\|D_t u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|D_x^2 u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|\Gamma_t\|_{L^1}\|D_x^2 f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|D_x^2 f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and this gives the estimate

$$\|u\|_{\dot W^{2,1}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty))} \le c\|f\|_{\dot W^2_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{1.2}$$

According to the real interpolation theory,

$$(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \dot W^2_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \dot B^\alpha_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and

$$(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)), \dot W^{2,1}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)))_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \dot B^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty))$$

for $0<\alpha<2$. Apply real interpolation theory to (1.1) and (1.2), then we have the estimate

$$\|u\|_{\dot B^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty))} \le c\|f\|_{\dot B^\alpha_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The argument can be extended to any $\alpha>0$.

The last estimate concerning smoothness comes easily from the properties of the heat kernel.

Appendix B. Proof of Proposition 4.2

Let us derive the first estimate of the proposition. By properties of heat kernel, $\Gamma *_{x,t} f \in \dot B^{\alpha+2,\frac{\alpha}{2}+1}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\|\Gamma *_{x,t} f\|_{\dot B^{\alpha+2,\frac{\alpha}{2}+1}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})} \le c\|f\|_{\dot B^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})},$$

where $*_{x,t}$ means convolution in (x,t) variables.

If $f|_{t=0}=0$, then there is $\tilde f \in \dot B^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp}\tilde f \subset \mathbb{R}^n\times(0,2T)$ and $\|\tilde f\|_{\dot B^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})} \le c\|f\|_{\dot B^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}$. Note that $u(x,t) = D_x\Gamma *_{x,t} \tilde f$ for $t>0$. Since, $\Gamma *_{x,t} \tilde f \in \dot B^{\alpha+2,\frac{\alpha}{2}+1}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\|\Gamma *_{x,t} \tilde f\|_{\dot B^{\alpha+2,\frac{\alpha}{2}+1}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})} \le c\|\tilde f\|_{\dot B^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})},$$

we have

$$\|u\|_{\dot B^{\alpha+1,\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac12}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le c\|f\|_{\dot B^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}. \tag{2.1}$$

If $f|_{t=0} \neq 0$, then let $F(s) = f(s) - \Gamma_s * (f|_{t=0})$ and $U = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_y \Gamma_{t-s} * F(s) dy ds$. Then

$$\|U\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha+1, \frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))} \leq c \|F\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))}.$$

Note that $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_y \Gamma_{t-s} * \Big(\Gamma_s * (f|_{t=0}) \Big) ds = t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_y \Gamma_t * (f|_{t=0}) dy$, and $D_x \Big(t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_y \Gamma_t * (f|_{t=0}) dy \Big) \sim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma_t * (f|_{t=0}) dy$. By the same reasoning as for the proof of Proposition 4.1, we can show that $t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_y \Gamma_t * (f|_{t=0}) dy \in \dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha+1, \frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))$, $0 < \alpha$ with

$$\|t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_y \Gamma_t * (f|_{t=0}) dy\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha+1, \frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))} \leq c \|f|_{t=0}\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \|f\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))}.$$

Combining the above two estimates we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha+1, \frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))} &\leq \|U\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha+1, \frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))} \\ &+ \|t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_y \Gamma_t * (f|_{t=0}) dy\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha+1, \frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))} \leq c \|f\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))} \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

It is well known that $D_x \Gamma(t) \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes Hardy space. Since $\|D_x \Gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|D_y \Gamma(\cdot - y, t-s)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f(s)\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \leq cT^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^\infty((0,T); BMO(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{2.3}$$

This gives the third estimate of the proposition.

Finally, we will derive the second estimate of the proposition. Since $D_x^2 u = \int_0^t D_y \Gamma_{t-s} * D_y^2 f(s) ds$, by Young's Theorem we have

$$\|D_x^2 u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \int_0^t \|D_y \Gamma(\cdot - y, t-s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|D_y^2 f(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \leq cT^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D_y^2 f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))}.$$

Since $D_t u = \int_0^t D_y \Gamma(t-s) *_x D_t f(s) ds$,

$$\|D_t u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \int_0^t \|D_y \Gamma(\cdot - y, t-s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|D_t f(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \leq cT^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D_t f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))}.$$

This gives the estimate

$$\|u\|_{\dot{W}_\infty^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))} \leq cT^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{\dot{W}_\infty^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))}. \tag{2.4}$$

Apply real interpolation theory to (2.3) and (2.4), we have the estimate

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))} \leq cT^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))}, \ 0 < \alpha < 2.$$

The argument can be extended to any $\alpha > 0$.

Appendix C. Proof of Proposition 4.3.

The first estimates in Proposition 4.3 are well known properties of the singular integral operator(see [16], [28] and [36]). Hence we have only to prove the second estimates. By the similar argument as in [16], it holds

$$\|Rf\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})} \leq c \|f\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for any } f \in \dot{B}_\infty^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}).$$

If $f \in \dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))$ with $f|_{t=0} = 0$, then there is $\tilde{f} \in \dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ extension of f with

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})} \le c\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))},$$

hence

$$\|Rf\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le c\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for any } f \in \dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T)) \text{ with } f|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Now let us consider $f \in \dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))$ with $f|_{t=0} \neq 0$. Let $F = f - \Gamma_t * (f|_{t=0})$, then $F|_{t=0} = 0$. Hence

$$\|RF\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le c\|F\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that $R\Big(\Gamma_t * (f|_{t=0})\Big) = \Gamma_t * \Big(R(f|_{t=0})\Big)$, and

$$\|\Gamma_t * \Big(R(f|_{t=0})\Big)\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le c\|R(f|_{t=0})\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|f|_{t=0}\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}.$$

Here the first inequality and the last inequality hold for $0 < \alpha$. Therefore we conclude that

$$\|Rf\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le c\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \text{ for any } f \in \dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T)), \ \alpha > 0.$$

Appendix D. Proof of proposition 4.4.

The first estimate of Proposition 4.4 is well known property of Poisson operator (see [36]). Hence we have only to prove the second two estimates. By the first estimate

$$\|Pf\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $D^2_{x'}Pf(t) = P(D^2_{x'}f)$, $D^2_{x_n}Pf = -\Delta_{x'}Pf$ and $D_tPf = P(D_tf)$, we have

$$\|D^2_{x_n}Pf\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le \|D^2_{x'}Pf\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c\|D_{x'}f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))},$$
$$\|D_tPf\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c\|D_tf\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}.$$

This gives the estimate

$$\|Pf\|_{\dot{W}^{2,1}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le c\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{2,1}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}. \tag{4.6}$$

Apply real interpolation theory to (4.5) and (4.6), we have the estimate

$$\|Pf\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} \le c\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))}, \ 0 < \alpha < 2.$$

The argument can be extended to any $\alpha > 0$.

The last estimate concerning smoothness comes easily from the properties of the Poisson kernel.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education(2014R1A1A3A04049515).

References

[1] M.F. de Almeida and L.C.F. Ferreira,*On the Navier-Stokes equations in the half-space with initial and boundary rough data in Morrey spaces*, J. Differential Equations 254, no. 3, 1548-1570(2013).

[2] H. Amann,*On the strong solvability of the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 2, no. 1, 16-98 (2000).

[3] H. Amann,*Navier-Stokes equations with nonhomogeneous Dirichlet data*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 10, suppl. 1, 1-11(2003).

[4] H. Amann,*Nonhomogeneous Navier-Stokes Equations with Integrable Low-Rregularity data,* Nonlinear problems in mathematical physics and related topics, II, 128, Int. Math. Ser. (N. Y.), 2, Kluwer/Plenum, New York, 2002.

[5] J. Bergh and J. Löfström,*Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[6] M. Bownik,*Atomic and molecular decompositions of anisotropic Besov spaces*, Math. Z. 250, no. 3, 539-571 (2005).

[7] M. Cannone, F. Planchon and M.Schonbek,*Strong solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in the half-space*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 25, no. 5-6, 903-924(2000).

[8] J.R. Cannon and G.H. Knightly, *A note on the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 18, 641-644(1970).

[9] T. Chang and H.J. Choe,*Maximum modulus estimate for the solution of the Stokes equations,* J. Differential Equations 254, no. 7, 2682-2704(2013).

[10] F. Crispo and P. Maremonti,*On the (x,t) asymptotic properties of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in the half-space*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI. 318, 147-202(2004);translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 136, no. 2, 3735-3767(2006).

[11] R. Farwig, R, G.P. Galdi and H. Sohr,*Very weak solutions and large uniqueness classes of stationary Navier-Stokes equations in bounded domains of R^2*, J. Differential Equations 227, no. 2, 564-580(2006).

[12] R. Farwig, H. Kozono, and H. Sohr, *Very weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains with nonhomogeneous data*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 59, no. 1, 127-150(2007).

[13] R. Farwig, H. Kozono, and H. Sohr, *Global weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations with nonhomogeneous boundary data and divergence*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova 125, 51-70(2011).

[14] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth,*Decomposition of Besov spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34, no. 4, 777-799(1985).

[15] Y. Giga and H. Sohr, *Abstract L p estimates for the Cauchy problem with applications to the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains*, J. Funct. Anal. 102, no. 1, 72-94(1991).

[16] Y. Giga, K. Inui and S. Matsui,*On the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with nondecaying initial data,* Advances in fluid dynamics, 27-68, Quad. Mat., 4, Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 1999.

[17] Y. Giga, S. Matsui, and Y. Shimizu, *On estimates in Hardy spaces for the Stokes flow in a half space*, Math. Z. 231), no. 2, 383-396(1999).

[18] G. Grubb and V.A. Solonnikov, *Boundary value problems for the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations treated by pseudo-differential methods*, Math. Scand. 69, no. 2, 217-290 (1992).

[19] T. Kato and G. Ponce,*Well-posedness of the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations in the Lebesgue spaces $L^p_s(R_2)$,* Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 2, no. 1-2, 73-88(1986).

[20] H. Koch and D. Tataru,*Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Adv. Math. 157, no. 1, 22-35(2001).

[21] H. Koch and V. A. Solonnikov, *L_p-Estimates for a solution to the nonstationary Stokes equations,* Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 106, No.3, 3042-3072(2001).

[22] H. Kozono,*Global L^n-solution and its decay property for the Navier-Stokes equations in half-space R^n_+,* J. Differential Equations 79, no. 1, 79-88(1989).

[23] H. Kozono, T. Ogawa, and Y. Taniuchi,*Navier-Stokes equations in the Besov space near L^∞ and BMO,*. Kyushu J. Math. 57, no. 2, 303-324(2003).

[24] J.E. Lewis,*The initial-boundary value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in L^p,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 22, 739-761(1972/73).

[25] P. Maremonti,*Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems in the half-space: existence and uniqueness of solutions non converging to a limit at infinity,* Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI. 39, 176-240, 362(2008); translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 159, no. 4, 486-523(2009).

[26] P. Maremonti and G. Staria, *On the nonstationary Stokes equations in half-space with continuous initial data*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI. 33, 118-167, 295(2003); translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 127, no. 2, 1886-1914(2005).

[27] J.-P. Raymond,*Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations with nonhomogeneous boundary conditions*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Linaire 24, no. 6, 921-951(2007).

[28] O. Sawada,*On time-local solvability of the Navier-Stokes equations in Besov spaces*, Adv. Differential Equations 8, no. 4, 385-412(2003).

[29] J. Serrin, *On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 9, 187-195(1962).

[30] V.A. Solonnikov,*Estimates of the solutions of the nonstationary Navier-Stokes system,* Boundary value problems of mathematical physics and related questions in the theory of functions, 7. Zap. Naun. Sem. LOMI. 38, 153-231(1973).
[31] V.A. Solonnikov,*An initial-boundary value problem for a generalized system of Stokes equations in a half-space*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI. 224-275, 271(2000); translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 115, no. 6, 2832-2861(2003).
[32] V.A. Solonnikov,*On nonstationary Stokes problem and Navier-Stokes problem in a half-space with initial data nondecreasing at infinity*, Function theory and applications. J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 114, no. 5, 1726-1740(2003).
[33] V.A. Solonnikov,*Weighted Schauder estimates for evolution Stokes problem*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. 52, no. 1, 137-172(2006).
[34] V. A. Solonnikov,*On the theory of nonstationary hydrodynamic potentials.* The Navier-Stokes equations: theory and numerical methods(Varenna, 2000), 113129, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 223, Dekker, New York, 2002.
[35] M. Sh. Birman, S. Hildebrandt, V. A. Solonnikov and N. N. Uraltseva, *Nonlinear problems in mathematical physics and related topics. I*, International Mathematical Series (New York), 1. Kluwer Academic 2002.
[36] E.M. Stein,*Singular Integrals and Ddifferentiability Properties of Functions,* Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970 .
[37] H. Triebel,*Interpolation Ttheory, Function Spaces, Differential Ooperators*, Second edition. Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg, 1995.
[38] H. Triebel,*Theory of Function Spaces,* Monographs in Mathematics, 78. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.
[39] H. Triebel,*Theory of Function Spaces. II,* Monographs in Mathematics, 84. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
[40] H. Triebel,*Theory of Function Spaces. III,* Monographs in Mathematics, 100. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
[41] K.A. Voss,*Self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation*, Thesis (Ph.D.)Yale University. 1996.

Department of Mathematics, Yonsei University, Seoul, 136-701, South Korea
E-mail address: chang7357@yonsei.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics, Mokpo National University, Muan-gun 534-729, South Korea
E-mail address: bumjajin@hanmail.net